

## BME GRADUATE MILESTONES EVALUATION FORM

STUDENT: \_\_\_\_\_ PROGRAM:     BME    PKU

MATRICULATION TERM/YEAR: \_\_\_\_\_

RESEARCH TRACK:     BIOMATERIALS    CARDIOVASCULAR    CELLULAR    INTEGRATIVE BIOSYSTEMS  
                                  MEDICAL IMAGING    NEUROENGINEERING

MILESTONE:    QUALIFYING EXAM    THESIS PROPOSAL    THESIS DEFENSE    OTHER \_\_\_\_\_

FACULTY MEMBER: \_\_\_\_\_ DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

CRITERION	EXCEPTIONAL	PROFICIENT	REMEDIAL
<b>1. Applies a breadth &amp; depth of advanced biological knowledge at the graduate level towards solving bioengineering problems</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consistently provides detailed answers on bio-mechanism without prompting</li> <li>Able to explain the biological aspects of the problem with deep insight</li> <li>Able to explain the biological system at the functional/structural/factual level</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Provides details but with some prompting</li> <li>Demonstrates insight, but needs prompting to demonstrate deep insight</li> <li>Able to explain the biological system at the structural/factual level</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fails to articulate simple concepts in cell/tissue or physiology</li> <li>Unable to explain how bio events inform design</li> <li>Unable to explain a biological system at its functional level</li> <li>Knows biological facts but can't apply at engineering/quantitative level</li> </ul>
<b>Criterion 1</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 5-Exceptional <input type="checkbox"/> 4-Very Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3-Proficient	<input type="checkbox"/> 2-Needs Improvement <input type="checkbox"/> 1-Remedial
<b>2. Applies a breadth &amp; depth of advanced engineering skills and knowledge towards solving bioengineering problems</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consistently provides details of approach to problem without prompting</li> <li>Able to explain engineering principles as relevant to the biological problem</li> <li>Demonstrated the ability to gain insight into a biological problem using engineering principles</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Offers an approach but with some prompting</li> <li>Offers some general detail of engineering knowledge</li> <li>Able to identify engineering principles but not necessarily to solve a biological problem</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Unable to see relationship between engineering and biological formulations of a problem</li> <li>Unable to solve basic engineering problems</li> <li>Knows techniques but not how to use them</li> </ul>
<b>Criterion 2</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 5-Exceptional <input type="checkbox"/> 4-Very Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3-Proficient	<input type="checkbox"/> 2-Needs Improvement <input type="checkbox"/> 1-Remedial
<b>3. Integrates advanced biological and engineering concepts in solving complex biomedical problems</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consistently demonstrates awareness of how biology drives answers and checks that answers accurately reflect biological problem</li> <li>Able to develop and explain an experimental design</li> <li>Able to use new material to solve a problem on his/her feet</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Able to explain biological phenomena in engineering terminology</li> <li>Offers a design but unable to clearly explain it, some information irrelevant</li> <li>Slow to incorporate new material into the problem</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Unable to deal with or incorporate new information</li> <li>Unable to demonstrate an understanding of the connections between an engineering and biological formulation of a problem</li> </ul>
<b>Criterion 3</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 5-Exceptional <input type="checkbox"/> 4-Very Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3-Proficient	<input type="checkbox"/> 2-Needs Improvement <input type="checkbox"/> 1-Remedial

<b>CRITERION</b>	<b>EXCEPTIONAL</b>		<b>PROFICIENT</b>		<b>REMEDIAL</b>	
<b>4. Demonstrates an ability to read, analyze, and synthesize literature*</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Routinely recognizes whether experimental approaches are rationally designed toward addressing hypotheses</li> <li>Easily identifies errors &amp; limitations</li> <li>Able to interpret results objectively, consistently differentiates objective interpretation from conjecture &amp; speculation</li> <li>Regularly places body of work in larger contexts, typically integrates knowledge from multiple sources toward student's own approach &amp; the field at large</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Often analyzes research critically</li> <li>Mostly able to recognize errors &amp; limitations</li> <li>Needs some assistance in making objective interpretations of data; occasionally recognizes conjecture and speculation</li> <li>Shows some ability to place work in a larger context; occasionally able to integrate knowledge from other sources toward own work or field at large</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Demonstrates general trust in all published literature</li> <li>Cannot detect a study's limitations and errors</li> <li>Unable to place body of work into the big picture; difficulty integrating knowledge from multiple sources toward his/her own work or the field at large</li> </ul>	
<b>Criterion 4</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 5-Exceptional	<input type="checkbox"/> 4-Very Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3-Proficient	<input type="checkbox"/> 2-Needs Improvement	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-Remedial	
<b>5. Utilizes a logical approach in the design, implementation, and evaluation of a research strategy to solve a complex biomedical problem</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Able to clearly articulate rationale in defense of a claim without prompting</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gives a partial chain of logic</li> <li>Needs prompting to translate technical terminology into easily understandable terms</li> <li>Demonstrates understanding of rationale but needs prompting to apply it to the problem</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Unfocused responses</li> <li>Makes vague statements with no clear tie to question</li> <li>Unable to defend statements</li> </ul>	
<b>Criterion 5</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 5-Exceptional	<input type="checkbox"/> 4-Very Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3-Proficient	<input type="checkbox"/> 2-Needs Improvement	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-Remedial	
<b>6. Effectively and efficiently communicates ideas in an organized manner to both engineers and scientists, as well as expert and novice audiences</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Develops a chain of logic that is transparent &amp; easy to follow</li> <li>Offers only relevant, targeted information</li> <li>Engages committee in the clarification process</li> <li>Able to restate question in own words</li> <li>Easily uses technical terminology and concepts to make points</li> <li>Able to explain technical information in lay terminology</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Offers a chain of logic but it is not particularly transparent or easy to follow</li> <li>Offers mostly targeted, relevant information</li> <li>Is aware of technical terminology but has difficulty connecting it to explanations</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rambles and sidesteps the question</li> <li>Unable to make list of clear goals and questions</li> <li>Responds to different question than asked</li> </ul>	
<b>Criterion 6</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 5-Exceptional	<input type="checkbox"/> 4-Very Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3-Proficient	<input type="checkbox"/> 2-Needs Improvement	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-Remedial	
<b>Comments</b> <i>(please use back of sheet if more space is needed)</i>						
<b>Overall Score</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> 5-Exceptional	<input type="checkbox"/> 4-Very Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3-Proficient	<input type="checkbox"/> 2-Needs Improvement	<input type="checkbox"/> 1-Remedial	

**\* This criterion should NOT be included when scoring a student during his/her qualifying exam.**